UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DEVIN PERR	Υ,	
	Plaintiff,	Case No. 1:24-cv-724
V.		Honorable Ray Kent
UNKNOWN E	BARTROW et al.,	
	Defendants.	

ORDER LIFTING STAY AND FOR SERVICE

This is a prisoner civil rights action. In orders (ECF Nos. 4, 5) entered on July 22, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, referred the case to the *Pro Se* Prisoner Civil Rights Litigation Early Mediation Program, and stayed the case for any purpose other than mediation. Thereafter, this case was removed from the *Pro Se* Prisoner Civil Rights Litigation Early Mediation Program because not all Defendants consented to participate in early mediation by the deadline set by the Court. (ECF No. 8.) Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the stay of this proceeding that was entered to facilitate the mediation is LIFTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that because the Court granted Plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis in its order entered on July 22, 2024, (ECF No. 4), Plaintiff is responsible for paying the entire \$350.00 filing fee in installments, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). See McGore v. Wrigglesworth, 114 F.3d 601, 604 (6th Cir. 1997), overruled in other part by LaFountain v. Harry, 716 F.3d 944, 951 (6th Cir. 2013); Hampton v. Hobbs, 106 F.3d 1281 (6th Cir. 1997). Plaintiff must pay the filing fee through monthly payments of 20 percent of the

preceding month's income credited to Plaintiff's prison trust fund account. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). Accordingly, each month that the amount in Plaintiff's trust account exceeds \$10.00, the agency having custody of Plaintiff shall collect 20 percent of the preceding months' income and remit that amount to the Clerk of this Court. The agency shall continue to collect monthly payments from Plaintiff's prisoner account until the entire filing fee is paid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 90-day period for service set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) shall run, starting with the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall forward the complaint to the U.S. Marshals Service, which is authorized to mail requests for waiver of service to Defendants Bartrow, Bowen, Odell, Leonard, Meyers, Godell, and Shultz¹ in the manner prescribed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2). If waiver of service is unsuccessful, summonses shall issue and be forwarded to the U.S. Marshals Service for service under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall file an appearance of counsel (individual Defendants may appear *pro se* if they do not have counsel) within 21 days of service or, in the case of a waiver of service, 60 days after the waiver of service was sent. Until so ordered by the Court, no Defendant is required to file an answer or motion in response to the complaint, and no default will be entered for failure to do so. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(g)(1). After a Defendant

¹ Plaintiff's allegations are sufficient to warrant service of the complaint upon Defendants Unknown Parties #1, #2, and #3. However, the Court is unable to order service on those Defendants because Plaintiff has not provided sufficient information to identify them. While the United States Marshal Service is expected to make a reasonable effort to serve identified Defendants on behalf of plaintiffs proceeding *in forma pauperis*, the Marshal Service is not required to identify the individuals to be served. *See Byrd v. Stone*, 94 F.3d 217, 219 (6th Cir. 1996) ("[W]hen a plaintiff is proceeding *in forma pauperis* the court is obligated to issue plaintiff's process to a United States Marshal who must in turn effectuate service upon the defendants . . . *once reasonable steps have been taken to identify for the court the defendants named in the complaint.*") (emphasis added).

has	filed	an	appearance,	the	Court	will	enter	a	case	management	order	to	govern	further
proc	eedin	gs i	n this case.											

Dated: August 29, 2024 /s/ Ray Kent
Ray Kent
United States Magistrate Judge